

**357<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SRC**  
**23<sup>rd</sup> & 24<sup>th</sup>, February, 2018**

19	SRCAPP3011	B.Ed- Distance 500 intake	Institute of Distance Education University of Madras, Chennai, Tamil Nadu	TN	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This case has been taken up for review of our decision in the last meeting on 31.1.18.</li> <li>2. The case was cleared for LOI for B.Ed (ODL)-500 intake. Inadvertently, it was recorded as a decision to issue FR for B.Ed (ODL)-500 intake w.e.f. 2018-19.</li> <li>3.1. This error, apparent on the face of the record, is reviewed in the meeting today and the erroneous order rescinded.</li> <li>3.2. The Correct decision is to issue LOI for B.Ed(ODI)-500 intake. Issue accordingly.</li> <li>4. Apprise the University of Madras that according to Supreme Court prescribed time-limit, We can not issue FRs w.e.f. 2018-19 after 3.3.18. It will, therefore, be advisable for them to forgo the time statutorily available for them to reply to the LOI and, give their reply latest by 2.8.18. Issue LOI accordingly.</li> </ol>
20	APS02913 APS04732	BSc.B.Ed BA.B.Ed	Tagore Government College of Education, Andaman & Nicobar Islands	A & N	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Faculty list given is Scrutinised.</li> <li>2. The Faculty list given by the College is only 'provisionally' approved. Also, it is not in the NCTE format in the sense that every page of the 'profile' is not authenticated by the Registrar.</li> <li>3. Issue SCN accordingly.</li> </ol>

**357<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SRC**  
**23<sup>rd</sup> & 24<sup>th</sup>, February, 2018**

21	SRCAPP30064	BSc.B.Ed 1 Unit	Azim Premji University, Bangalore (U), Karnataka	KA	<p>1.In this case, the SRC took a decision in the 355 Meeting on 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2018 to issue FR for BSc.B.Ed (1 Unit) .w.e.f. 2018-19. This decision in a Summary form was uploaded in the SRO website on..... for public information.</p> <p>2.But, the formal order communicating this decision and issuing the FR to the applicant-Institution concerned and the relevant affiliating bodies was not issued by the SRO.</p> <p>3.In the 357<sup>th</sup> meeting today (on 24<sup>th</sup> February 2018) this case has been brought back to the SRC for reconsideration. This request is pressed on two grounds :</p> <p>(i) The SRC's decision in reference has not yet been given effect to formally.</p> <p>(ii) It is now seen that the decision suffers from a basic legal infirmity. The Regulations were amended and given effect to on 9 June 2017 to prescribe that all Faculty members shall have the NET qualification. Unfortunately, this amendment was not communicated to the SRO before 21 Feb 2018 and to the SRC before 23 Feb 2018. As a result, the SRO/SRC did not apply this requirement while clearing any case for issue of FR before 23 Feb 2018.</p> <p>4.The Strict legal position, however, is that any legal prescription becomes effective from the date of notification in the Govt gazette. That being so, lack of information to the SRO/SRC can not be cited to override this standard legal practice.</p> <p>5Accordingly, the SRC applied this ( amended) requirement to all cases decided on 23 Feb 18. Even cases which were otherwise</p>
----	-------------	--------------------	---	----	---

**357<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SRC**  
**23<sup>rd</sup> & 24<sup>th</sup>, February, 2018**

					<p>eligible for issue of FR w.e.f. 2018-19, which in earlier times would have been cleared by the SRC, were kept back for issue of SCN to the applicants.</p> <p>6.1. This case, although it pertains, to a period prior to 23 Feb 2018, will still fall in the 'post 23 Feb 2018' category, since as explained in paragraph-3 above, the SRC's decision has not been given effect to formally. And, to clear this case for issue of FR today I.e, after being specifically informed about the said amendment to the Regulations, will be irregular.</p> <p>6.2. In the result, and for the reasons given above, the decision dt 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2018 clearing this case for issue of FR for BSc.B.Ed (1 Unit) w.e.f. 2018-19 is reviewed and rescinded.</p> <p>7. SRO is directed to issue a SCN to the applicant-Institution accordingly for rejection.</p> <p>8. There will be many other such cases between 9 June 17 and 23 Feb 18 in which FRs have been issued without taking this (amended) requirement into consideration. NCTE (HQ) may be requested to advise on how to rectify the orders passed therein.</p>
22	SRCAPP2589	M.Ed 1 Unit	CSI College of Education, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala	KL	<p>1.In this case, the SRC took a decision in the 355 Meeting on 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2018 to issue FR for M.Ed (1 Unit) .w.e.f. 2018-19. This decision in a Summary form was uploaded in the SRO website on..... for public information.</p> <p>2.But, the formal order communicating this decision and issuing the FR to the</p>

**357<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SRC**  
**23<sup>rd</sup> & 24<sup>th</sup>, February, 2018**

					<p>applicant-Institution concerned and the relevant affiliating bodies was not issued by the SRO.</p> <p>3. In the 357<sup>th</sup> meeting today (on 24<sup>th</sup> February 2018) this case has been brought back to the SRC for reconsideration. This request is pressed on two grounds :</p> <p>(i) The SRC's decision in reference has not yet been given effect to formally.</p> <p>(ii) It is now seen that the decision suffers from a basic legal infirmity. The Regulations were amended and given effect to on 9 June 2017 to prescribe that all Faculty members shall have the NET qualification. Unfortunately, this amendment was not communicated to the SRO before 21 Feb 2018 and to the SRC before 23 Feb 2018. As a result, the SRO/SRC did not apply this requirement while clearing any case for issue of FR before 23 Feb 2018.</p> <p>4. The Strict legal position, however, is that any legal prescription becomes effective from the date of notification in the Govt gazette. That being so, lack of information to the SRO/SRC can not be cited to override this standard legal practice.</p> <p>5 Accordingly, the SRC applied this ( amended) requirement to all cases decided on 23 Feb 18. Even cases which were otherwise eligible for issue of FR w.e.f. 2018-19, which in earlier times would have been cleared by the SRC, were kept back for issue of SCN to the applicants.</p> <p>6.1. This case, although it pertains, to a period prior to 23 Feb 2018, will still fall in the 'post 23 Feb 2018' category, since as explained in</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

**357<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SRC**  
**23<sup>rd</sup> & 24<sup>th</sup>, February, 2018**

					<p>paragraph-3 above, the SRC's decision has not been given effect to formally. And, to clear this case for issue of FR today I.e, after being specifically informed about the said amendment to the Regulations, will be irregular.</p> <p>6.2. In the result, and for the reasons given above, the decision dt 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2018 clearing this case for issue of FR for M.Ed (1 Unit) w.e.f. 2018-19 is reviewed and rescinded.</p> <p>7. SRO is directed to issue a SCN to the applicant-Institution accordingly for rejection.</p> <p>8. There will be many other such cases between 9 June 17 and 23 Feb 18 in which FRs have been issued without taking this (amended) requirement into consideration. NCTE (HQ) may be requested to advise on how to rectify the orders passed therein.</p>
23	SRCAPP2016 30143	B.Ed 2 Units	Muthukkaruppan Memorial Educational Trust, Tuticorin, Tamil Nadu	TN	<p>1.In this case, the SRC took a decision in the 355 Meeting on 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2018 to issue FR for B.Ed (2 Units) .w.e.f. 2018-19. This decision in a Summary form was uploaded in the SRO website on..... for public information.</p> <p>2.But, the formal order communicating this decision and issuing the FR to the applicant-Institution concerned and the relevant affiliating bodies was not issued by the SRO.</p> <p>3.In the 357<sup>th</sup> meeting today (on 24<sup>th</sup> February 2018) this case has been brought back to the SRC for reconsideration. This request is pressed on two grounds :</p>

**357<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SRC**  
**23<sup>rd</sup> & 24<sup>th</sup>, February, 2018**

					<p>(i) The SRC's decision in reference has not yet been given effect to formally.</p> <p>(ii) It is now seen that the decision suffers from a basic legal infirmity. The Regulations were amended and given effect to on 9 June 2017 to prescribe that all Faculty members shall have the NET qualification. Unfortunately, this amendment was not communicated to the SRO before 21 Feb 2018 and to the SRC before 23 Feb 2018. As a result, the SRO/SRC did not apply this requirement while clearing any case for issue of FR before 23 Feb 2018.</p> <p>4. The Strict legal position, however, is that any legal prescription becomes effective from the date of notification in the Govt gazette. That being so, lack of information to the SRO/SRC can not be cited to override this standard legal practice.</p> <p>5 Accordingly, the SRC applied this ( amended) requirement to all cases decided on 23 Feb 18. Even cases which were otherwise eligible for issue of FR w.e.f. 2018-19, which in earlier times would have been cleared by the SRC, were kept back for issue of SCN to the applicants.</p> <p>6.1. This case, although it pertains, to a period prior to 23 Feb 2018, will still fall in the 'post 23 Feb 2018' category, since as explained in paragraph-3 above, the SRC's decision has not been given effect to formally. And, to clear this case for issue of FR today I.e, after being specifically informed about the said amendment to the Regulations, will be irregular.</p> <p>6.2. In the result, and for the reasons given</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

**357<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SRC**  
**23<sup>rd</sup> & 24<sup>th</sup>, February, 2018**

					<p>above, the decision dt 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2018 clearing this case for issue of FR for B.Ed (2 Units) w.e.f. 2018-19 is reviewed and rescinded.</p> <p>7. SRO is directed to issue a SCN to the applicant-Institution accordingly for rejection.</p> <p>8. There will be many other such cases between 9 June 17 and 23 Feb 18 in which FRs have been issued without taking this (amended) requirement into consideration. NCTE (HQ) may be requested to advise on how to rectify the orders passed therein.</p>
24	SRCAPP14884	BA.B.Ed BSc.B.Ed 2 Units	Sree Dattha Brindavan Institute of Teachers Education, Mahbubnagar, Telangana	TS	<p>1.In this case, the SRC took a decision in the 356 Meeting on 6<sup>th</sup> February, 2018 to issue FR for BSc.B.Ed (2 Units) .w.e.f. 2018-19. This decision in a Summary form was uploaded in the SRO website on..... for public information.</p> <p>2.But, the formal order communicating this decision and issuing the FR to the applicant-Institution concerned and the relevant affiliating bodies was not issued by the SRO.</p> <p>3.In the 357<sup>th</sup> meeting today (on 24<sup>th</sup> February 2018) this case has been brought back to the SRC for reconsideration. This request is pressed on two grounds :</p> <p>(i) The SRC's decision in reference has not yet been given effect to formally.</p> <p>(ii) It is now seen that the decision suffers from a basic legal infirmity. The Regulations were amended and given effect to on 9 June 2017 to prescribe that all Faculty members shall have the NET qualification. Unfortunately, this</p>

**357<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SRC**  
**23<sup>rd</sup> & 24<sup>th</sup>, February, 2018**

					<p>amendment was not communicated to the SRO before 21 Feb 2018 and to the SRC before 23 Feb 2018. As a result, the SRO/SRC did not apply this requirement while clearing any case for issue of FR before 23 Feb 2018.</p> <p>4.The Strict legal position, however, is that any legal prescription becomes effective from the date of notification in the Govt gazette. That being so, lack of information to the SRO/SRC can not be cited to override this standard legal practice.</p> <p>5 Accordingly, the SRC applied this ( amended) requirement to all cases decided on 23 Feb 18. Even cases which were otherwise eligible for issue of FR w.e.f. 2018-19, which in earlier times would have been cleared by the SRC, were kept back for issue of SCN to the applicants.</p> <p>6.1. This case, although it pertains, to a period prior to 23 Feb 2018, will still fall in the 'post 23 Feb 2018' category, since as explained in paragraph-3 above, the SRC's decision has not been given effect to formally. And, to clear this case for issue of FR today I.e, after being specifically informed about the said amendment to the Regulations, will be irregular.</p> <p>6.2. In the result, and for the reasons given above, the decision dt 06<sup>th</sup> February, 2018 clearing this case for issue of FR for BSc.B.Ed (2 Units) w.e.f. 2018-19 is reviewed and rescinded.</p> <p>7. SRO is directed to issue a SCN to the applicant-Institution accordingly for</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

**357<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SRC**  
**23<sup>rd</sup> & 24<sup>th</sup>, February, 2018**

					<p>rejection.</p> <p>8. There will be many other such cases between 9 June 17 and 23 Feb 18 in which FRs have been issued without taking this (amended) requirement into consideration. NCTE (HQ) may be requested to advise on how to rectify the orders passed therein.</p>
25	SRCAPP3244	D.Ed 2 Units	Dr. Zakir Hussain College of Elementary Education, Krishna, Andhra Pradesh	AP	<p>1. In this case, the SRC took a decision in the 355 Meeting on 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2018 to issue FR for D.El.Ed (1 Unit) .w.e.f. 2018-19. This decision in a Summary form was uploaded in the SRO website on..... for public information.</p> <p>2. But, the formal order communicating this decision and issuing the FR to the applicant-Institution concerned and the relevant affiliating bodies was not issued by the SRO.</p> <p>3. In the 357<sup>th</sup> meeting today (on 24<sup>th</sup> February 2018) this case has been brought back to the SRC for reconsideration. This request is pressed on two grounds :</p> <p>(iii) The SRC's decision in reference has not yet been given effect to formally.</p> <p>(iv) It is now seen that the decision suffers from a basic legal infirmity. The Regulations were amended and given effect to on 9 June 2017 to prescribe that all Faculty members shall have the NET qualification. Unfortunately, this amendment was not communicated to the SRO before 21 Feb 2018 and to the SRC before 23 Feb 2018. As a result, the SRO/SRC did not apply this requirement while clearing any case for issue of FR before 23 Feb 2018.</p> <p>4. The Strict legal position, however, is that any</p>

**357<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SRC**  
**23<sup>rd</sup> & 24<sup>th</sup>, February, 2018**

					<p>legal prescription becomes effective from the date of notification in the Govt gazette. That being so, lack of information to the SRO/SRC can not be cited to override this standard legal practice.</p> <p>5 Accordingly, the SRC applied this ( amended) requirement to all cases decided on 23 Feb 18. Even cases which were otherwise eligible for issue of FR w.e.f. 2018-19, which in earlier times would have been cleared by the SRC, were kept back for issue of SCN to the applicants.</p> <p>6.1. This case, although it pertains, to a period prior to 23 Feb 2018, will still fall in the 'post 23 Feb 2018' category, since as explained in paragraph-3 above, the SRC's decision has not been given effect to formally. And, to clear this case for issue of FR today I.e, after being specifically informed about the said amendment to the Regulations, will be irregular.</p> <p>6.2. In the result, and for the reasons given above, the decision dt 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2018 clearing this case for issue of FR for D.El.Ed (1 Unit) w.e.f. 2018-19 is reviewed and rescinded.</p> <p>7. SRO is directed to issue a SCN to the applicant-Institution accordingly for rejection.</p> <p>8. There will be many other such cases between 9 June 17 and 23 Feb 18 in which FRs have been issued without taking this (amended) requirement into consideration. NCTE (HQ) may be requested to advise on how to rectify the orders passed therein.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---

**357<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SRC**  
**23<sup>rd</sup> & 24<sup>th</sup>, February, 2018**

26	SRCAPP2016 30123	M.P.Ed 1 Unit	SPMs College of Physical Education, Belgaum, Karnataka	KA	<p>1. In this case, the SRC took a decision in the 356 Meeting on 6<sup>th</sup> February, 2018 to issue FR for M.P.Ed (1 Unit) w.e.f. 2018-19. This decision in a Summary form was uploaded in the SRO website on..... for public information.</p> <p>2. But, the formal order communicating this decision and issuing the FR to the applicant-Institution concerned and the relevant affiliating bodies was not issued by the SRO.</p> <p>3. In the 357<sup>th</sup> meeting today (on 24<sup>th</sup> February 2018) this case has been brought back to the SRC for reconsideration. This request is pressed on two grounds :</p> <p>(i) The SRC's decision in reference has not yet been given effect to formally.</p> <p>(ii) It is now seen that the decision suffers from a basic legal infirmity. The Regulations were amended and given effect to on 9 June 2017 to prescribe that all Faculty members shall have the NET qualification. Unfortunately, this amendment was not communicated to the SRO before 21 Feb 2018 and to the SRC before 23 Feb 2018. As a result, the SRO/SRC did not apply this requirement while clearing any case for issue of FR before 23 Feb 2018.</p> <p>4. The Strict legal position, however, is that any legal prescription becomes effective from the date of notification in the Govt gazette. That being so, lack of information to the SRO/SRC can not be cited to override this standard legal practice.</p> <p>5 Accordingly, the SRC applied this ( amended) requirement to all cases decided on 23 Feb 18. Even cases which were otherwise</p>
----	---------------------	------------------	--	----	---

**357<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SRC**  
**23<sup>rd</sup> & 24<sup>th</sup>, February, 2018**

					<p>eligible for issue of FR w.e.f. 2018-19, which in earlier times would have been cleared by the SRC, were kept back for issue of SCN to the applicants.</p> <p>6.1. This case, although it pertains, to a period prior to 23 Feb 2018, will still fall in the 'post 23 Feb 2018' category, since as explained in paragraph-3 above, the SRC's decision has not been given effect to formally. And, to clear this case for issue of FR today I.e, after being specifically informed about the said amendment to the Regulations, will be irregular.</p> <p>6.2. In the result, and for the reasons given above, the decision dt 06<sup>th</sup> February, 2018 clearing this case for issue of FR for M.P.Ed (1 Unit) w.e.f. 2018-19 is reviewed and rescinded.</p> <p>7. SRO is directed to issue a SCN to the applicant-Institution accordingly for rejection.</p> <p>8. There will be many other such cases between 9 June 17 and 23 Feb 18 in which FRs have been issued without taking this (amended) requirement into consideration. NCTE (HQ) may be requested to advise on how to rectify the orders passed therein.</p>
27	SRCAPP2016 30064	M.Ed 1 Unit	Azim Premji University, Bangalore (U), Karnataka	KA	<p>1.In this case, the SRC took a decision in the 356 Meeting on 6<sup>th</sup> February, 2018 to issue FR for M.Ed (1 Unit) w.e.f. 2018-19. This decision in a Summary form was uploaded in the SRO website on..... for public information.</p> <p>2.But, the formal order communicating this decision and issuing the FR to the</p>

**357<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SRC**  
**23<sup>rd</sup> & 24<sup>th</sup>, February, 2018**

					<p>applicant-Institution concerned and the relevant affiliating bodies was not issued by the SRO.</p> <p>3. In the 357<sup>th</sup> meeting today (on 24<sup>th</sup> February 2018) this case has been brought back to the SRC for reconsideration. This request is pressed on two grounds :</p> <p>(i) The SRC's decision in reference has not yet been given effect to formally.</p> <p>(ii) It is now seen that the decision suffers from a basic legal infirmity. The Regulations were amended and given effect to on 9 June 2017 to prescribe that all Faculty members shall have the NET qualification. Unfortunately, this amendment was not communicated to the SRO before 21 Feb 2018 and to the SRC before 23 Feb 2018. As a result, the SRO/SRC did not apply this requirement while clearing any case for issue of FR before 23 Feb 2018.</p> <p>4. The Strict legal position, however, is that any legal prescription becomes effective from the date of notification in the Govt gazette. That being so, lack of information to the SRO/SRC can not be cited to override this standard legal practice.</p> <p>5 Accordingly, the SRC applied this ( amended) requirement to all cases decided on 23 Feb 18. Even cases which were otherwise eligible for issue of FR w.e.f. 2018-19, which in earlier times would have been cleared by the SRC, were kept back for issue of SCN to the applicants.</p> <p>6.1. This case, although it pertains, to a period prior to 23 Feb 2018, will still fall in the 'post 23 Feb 2018' category, since as explained in</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--

**357<sup>th</sup> Meeting of SRC**  
**23<sup>rd</sup> & 24<sup>th</sup>, February, 2018**

					<p>paragraph-3 above, the SRC's decision has not been given effect to formally. And, to clear this case for issue of FR today I.e, after being specifically informed about the said amendment to the Regulations, will be irregular.</p> <p>6.2. In the result, and for the reasons given above, the decision dt 06<sup>th</sup> February, 2018 clearing this case for issue of FR for M.Ed (1 Unit) w.e.f. 2018-19 is reviewed and rescinded.</p> <p>7. SRO is directed to issue a SCN to the applicant-Institution accordingly for rejection.</p> <p>8. There will be many other such cases between 9 June 17 and 23 Feb 18 in which FRs have been issued without taking this (amended) requirement into consideration. NCTE (HQ) may be requested to advise on how to rectify the orders passed therein.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	---